

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Title of Publication: Roxy Jezel's Fuck Me

Other Known Title: Not Stated

Format: DVD

Director/Author: Gazzman

Producer/Publisher: Not Stated

Country of Origin: UK

Language: English

Applicant: The Film and Video Labelling Body Inc.

Distributor: Calvista NZ Ltd

Classification:	The unexcised version of this publication is classified as Objectionable .
	The excised version of this publication is classified as Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.

Descriptive Note: Not Applicable

Display Conditions: Not Applicable

Date of entry in Register: 15 January 2007

Date of direction to issue a label: Not Applicable

OFLC No: 602507

Unexcised version of publication:

	Components	Running time
Menu:	Play Scenes Extras	
Feature:	Roxy Jezel's Fuck Me	133.00

Other timed components:	Harmony Copyright Warning Notice X18+ Notices (x4) Interview With Roxy Jezel Outtakes Dirty Talk Bonus Girl Slam It! In Every Hole Slam It! In Her Ass Slam It! In Deeper	0.22 0.15 7.13 1.51 2.51 21.44 0.59 0.59 1.11
Total running time:		170.25

Excisions: Excisions Recommended But Not Made

Reasons for excisions:

Four excisions are required to remove depictions of a variety of aggressive and degrading sexual conduct that is significantly degrading, dehumanising and demeaning to the women participants, and by extension women in general, and which is presented in a manner that intensifies the effect.

**Summary of reasons
for classification:**

The DVD *Roxy Jezel's Fuck Me* is classified as:
Objectionable.

The DVD *Roxy Jezel's Fuck Me* is a sexually explicit publication that focuses on extreme sexual practices involving the presentation of women as collections of orifices to be multiply penetrated in an aggressive fashion, usually by more than one man. Excisions were offered to reduce the likelihood of injury from exposure to four sequences of activity that strongly degrades, dehumanises and demeans the women participants. In this instance the distributor declined to make the excisions.

The publication's classification interferes with the freedom of expression as set out in s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990, but this is an outcome that is consistent with Parliament's intention to limit the availability of publications that present degrading, dehumanising and demeaning sexual conduct to such a degree that the publication's availability is likely to be injurious to the public good.